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General Information

Risks in Foods

Foodborne Diseases

Intoxications
- Chemical Poisoning
- Poisonous Plant and Animals
- Microbial Intoxication
- Mycotoxins
- Algal Toxins
- Bacterial Toxins

Intoxications
- Toxico-infections
- Invasive Infections

Intoxications
- Allergies
Thailand’s Pilot Study on Foodborne Diseases

Based on Thailand’s National Notifiable Disease Surveillance System

• In 2009 – 10 to 35 million foodborne infections

• Main etiologic agents: Salmonella, V. cholera, Shigella and E. coli

• Liver fluke infection (Opisthorchis viverrini)

(WHO Report, 2015)
# General Information

## Foodborne Disease Estimates by CDC in the U. S.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Million cases/year</th>
<th>Deaths/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total foodborne diseases/year</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>3,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known causes (bacteria, virus...)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown causes</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>1,686</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

200 known diseases are transmitted through food

(Scallan et al, 2011)
Factors may Contribute to Foodborne Diseases

1. Implementation of enhanced epidemiological surveillance
2. Advances in microbiological methods
3. Changes in agricultural practices
4. Complex food production, processing and distribution systems
5. New virulent and/or resistant strains
6. Globalization
7. Decreased immunity (Comfortable life, less exercise? More pollution...)
8. Consumer preferences
## Risk assessment in 51 Leafy vegetable farms

Aug 2016 – January 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Commune</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Province</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tanou</td>
<td>Sa Ang Phnom</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kandal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Toul Speu</td>
<td>Talon</td>
<td>Sa Ang</td>
<td>Kandal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Klakoh</td>
<td>Klakoh</td>
<td>Sa Ang</td>
<td>Kandal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rorkar Loeu</td>
<td>Khob</td>
<td>Sa Ang</td>
<td>Kandal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Anlong Trosek</td>
<td>Sre Ambel</td>
<td>Sa Ang</td>
<td>Kandal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Krong</td>
<td>Krong Yauv</td>
<td>Sa Ang</td>
<td>Kandal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Andoug</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Toul Krouch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Koh Brak</td>
<td>Phoum Thom</td>
<td>Kien Svay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Koh Phost</td>
<td>Dey Et</td>
<td>Kien Svay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dey Et</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Buos Ankang</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Chong Prek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Identified risks in leafy vegetable farms

• 35.3%- high, 25.5-medium and 7.8%-low identified risks

• Farmers’ knowledge in the aspect of chemical & hygiene is weak

• Chemical & biological contamination readily happen in farms
2. Identified High Risks Leading to Chemical Contamination

- Farmers apply agrochemicals relying on own experience and recommendation from other farmers & salers but not instruction from labels or chemical advisers.
  - excessive residues or improper application
2. Identified High Risks Leading to Chemical Contamination

- 80% farmers mix 2-3 kinds, 12% mixed 4-6 kinds, 2% mix up to 5-8 kinds of agrochemicals in one spray.
  - change of active ingredients and excessive MRL
- Holding period less than 7 days after agrochemical spray
  - excessive MRL
2. Identified High Risks Leading to Chemical Contamination

- Farmers without training on agrochemicals use
  - residues exceeding MRL from inappropriate application

- Farmers unaware of authorized suppliers and approved agrochemicals
  - Application of unapproved chemicals, excessive residue on vegetables
2. Identified High Risks Leading to Chemical Contamination

- Waste (including agrochemical waste) disposed near the production sites

chemical or biological contamination to produce
3. Identified High Risks Leading to Biological Contamination

Harvest vegetables direct contact with soil
→ pathogen contamination on vegetables
## Risk assessment in 40 Cucumber farms

February 2016 – January 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Commune</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Province</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Popeal Ke</td>
<td>Dey Eth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kandal Leu</td>
<td>Ban Teay Dek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kandal</td>
<td>Ban Teay Dek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ou Kandal</td>
<td>Ban Teay Dek</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kandal Kroam</td>
<td>Ban Teay Dek</td>
<td>Kean Svay</td>
<td>Kandal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Koh Prak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Phumi Thom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Identified risks in Cucumber farms

- Farmers’ knowledge in dealing with agrochemicals and hygiene issues are worse than those from leafy vegetable farms
- 60%-high, 12.5%-medium and 10% low identified risks
- Chemical and biological contamination readily happen in farms
- Share similar risks with the leafy vegetables farms but more high risks identified.
2. Identified High Risks Leading to Biological Contamination

Easy access for domestic animal entering production sites and packing/storage area

- biological contamination through contact of their fur, fluid, faeces with vegetables, equipment, containers and materials
Farmers not having training on personal hygiene, no proper personal hygiene instruction to worker

- biological and physical contamination on produce from their activities

Toilets and washing facilities not available for workers around production/packing areas

- biological contamination through human.
Using untreated/improperly composted animal manure as fertilizer. ➡️ Pathogen contamination to vegetables.
Water not analyzed before use

- Possible chemical and biological contamination
Not checking the cleanliness of transport vehicle
- chemical or biological contamination to produce

Not ensuring the postharvest equipment/containers are soundness and cleanliness before use
- produce contamination from contact with dirt, faeces, agrochemicals, fertilizers or others
Risk Treatment

• In order to reduce the identified risks to an acceptable level, there is a urgent need to provide trainings to farmers who are involved in this study, collectors and retailers to address the chemical and hygiene issues.

• Training
  1. Chemical application
     a. introduce the legal chemicals especially pesticide application.
     b. Advice of where to locate the authorised suppliers and how to differentiate the approved and banned agrochemicals
     c. Information of what to do with the disease/insects on leafy vegetables and cucumbers and probably other crops as well
  2. Application of fertilizers
     how to compost/treat the animal manure before use.
  3. Postharvest handling
     educate farmers, collectors and retailer about the hygiene issues which affect the quality and safety of vegetables.
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